
WRIGHT STANDARDS

SAMPLE FINDINGS SUMMARY

Below is a simplified example of the type of findings summary Wright Standards may provide at the conclusion of a review. It is intended to illustrate format, structure, and reporting style.

Workflow reviewed

AI-assisted candidate screening and ranking workflow

Review objective

Assess whether workflow outputs were consistent, explainable, and fit for operational use before broader deployment.

Top findings

- High-confidence classifications appeared without clear explanation logic
- Inconsistency was observed across similarly qualified candidates
- Escalation criteria for higher-risk output conditions were not sufficiently defined

Severity summary

- **Overall risk posture:** ORANGE
- **Priority level:** High attention recommended before broader rollout

Recommended next steps

- Require explanation language tied to role criteria
- Add consistency checks across similar candidate comparisons
- Introduce uncertainty language for borderline cases
- Define escalation triggers for higher-severity events
- Expand audit logging for review visibility

Residual risk

If corrective actions are implemented, the workflow may be suitable for re-review. Without those changes, broader rollout may increase fairness, reputational, and governance risk.

What this example shows

This type of deliverable is designed to help teams quickly understand what was reviewed, what matters most, and what should be addressed first.

Note

This sample is illustrative and anonymized. Actual deliverables vary based on workflow scope, review depth, and risk level.